A CHARACTERIZATION OF NORMAL OPERATORS[†]

BY SHMUEL FRIEDLAND

ABSTRACT

Let A be a bounded linear operator in a Hilbert space. If A is normal then $\log \|e^{A_i}u\|$ and $\log \|e^{A_i}u\|$ are convex functions for all $u \neq 0$. In this paper we prove that these properties characterize normal operators.

1. Introduction

Let H be a Hilbert space over the complex numbers C with an inner product (x, y). Assume that $A : H \to H$ is a bounded linear operator. A straightforward calculation shows (see the next section)

LEMMA 1. Let $A : H \to H$ be a bounded linear operator. If $A^*A - AA^*$ is non-negative definite then $\log \|e^{At}u\|$ is convex on R for all $u \neq 0$.

Thus if A is normal then $\log \|e^{At}u\|$ and $\log \|e^{At}u\|$ are convex. However, there are non-normal operators A such that $0 \le A^*A - AA^*$. Here, as usual, for self-adjoint operators S, T the inequality $S \le T$ denotes that T - S is a non-negative definite operator. For example let $H = l_2$ and choose A to be the shift operator $A(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$. In this case $\log \|e^{A^*t}u\|$ is not convex for $u = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$. This situation can not hold in a finite dimensional H. More precisely we have

THEOREM 1. Let A = P + iQ, where P and Q are bounded self-adjoint operators. Assume that P has only a point spectrum (i.e. H has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigen-elements of P). Then A is normal if and only if

(1)
$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\log \|e^{At}u\|)(0) \ge 0, \quad \text{for all } u \ne 0.$$

^{&#}x27; Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041. Received December 15, 1980

Our main result is

THEOREM 2. Let $A : H \to H$ be a bounded linear operator. Then A is normal if and only if (1) and

(2)
$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\log \|e^{A^* t}u\|)(0) \ge 0, \quad \text{for all } u \ne 0,$$

hold.

We conjecture

CONJECTURE. Assume that (1) holds. Then $0 \le A^*A - AA^*$.

2. Proofs

Using the group properties of e^{At} we easily deduce

LEMMA 2. Let $A : H \to H$ be a bounded linear operator. Then $\log ||e^{At}u||$ is convex on R for all $u \neq 0$ if and only if (1) holds.

A straightforward calculation shows

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\log \|e^{At}u\|)(0) = \frac{1}{2} (u, u)^{-2} [((A^2 + A^{*2} + 2A^*A)u, u)(u, u) - ((A + A^*)u, u)^2].$$

Thus (1) is equivalent to the inequality

(3)
$$((A + A^*)u, u)^2 \leq ((A^2 + A^{*2} + 2A^*A)u, u)(u, u).$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$((A + A^*)u, u)^2 \leq ((A + A^*)^2 u, u)(u, u).$$

As

$$(A + A^*)^2 = A^2 + A^{*2} + 2A^*A - (A^*A - AA^*)$$

the assumption that $A^*A - AA^* \ge 0$ implies the inequality (3). This establishes Lemma 1.

To give an equivalent form of the inequality (3) we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. Let $R, S, T : H \rightarrow H$ be self-adjoint non-negative definite operators. Then

(4)
$$(Ru, u)^2 \leq (Su, u)(Tu, u), \quad \text{for all } u \in H$$

if and only if

Vol. 42, 1982

$$(5) 2R \leq \alpha^{-1}S + \alpha T$$

for all positive α .

PROOF. The inequality (4) implies (5) in view of arithmetic-geometric inequality. Suppose that (5) holds. If (Su, u) = 0 then by letting α tend to zero we deduce that (Ru, u) = 0. Thus we may assume that (Su, u)(Tu, u) > 0. In that case choose $\alpha = [(Su, u)/(Tu, u)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ to obtain (4).

LEMMA 4. Let A = P + iQ, where P and Q are self-adjoint. Then (3) is equivalent to the inequality

(6)
$$\frac{i}{2}(QP - PQ) \leq (P - \alpha I)^2$$

for all real α .

PROOF. A straightforward computation shows that the inequality (3) is invariant under the transformation $A \rightarrow A + \omega I$. So we may assume that $P \ge 0$. Also, in terms of P and Q, (3) becomes

$$(Pu, u)^2 \leq \left(\left[P^2 + \frac{i}{2} (PQ - QP) \right] u, u \right) (u, u).$$

In view of Lemma 3 the above inequality is equivalent to (6) for $\alpha > 0$. As $P \ge 0$, (6) trivially holds also for $\alpha \le 0$. Again (6) is invariant under the transformation $A \rightarrow A + \omega I$. The proof of the lemma is completed.

LEMMA 5. Let $P, Q : H \rightarrow H$ be bounded self-adjoint operators. Assume that $Pu = \alpha u, u \neq 0$ and suppose that (6) holds. Then

(7)
$$P(Qu) = \alpha(Qu).$$

PROOF. Let y = u + sx, where $s \in C$ and (u, x) = 0. As

$$(Bu, u) = ((P - \alpha I)^2 u, u) = ((P - \alpha I)^2 u, x) = 0, \qquad B = \frac{1}{2} (QP - PQ),$$

(6) implies

$$2 \operatorname{Re}\{\bar{s}(Bu, x)\} + |s|^{2}(Bx, x) \leq |s|^{2}((P - \alpha I)^{2}x, x).$$

Since s is arbitrary we obtain that (Bu, x) = 0 if (u, x) = 0. So $Bu = \beta u$. Finally the equality $(Bu, u) \neq 0$ yields $\beta = 0$, i.e., Bu = 0. This proves (7).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. As P has only a point spectrum H decomposes to a direct sum of invariant eigen-subspaces of P:

$$H=\sum_{\lambda\in\sigma(P)}\bigoplus H_{\lambda}, \qquad (P-\lambda I)H_{\lambda}=0.$$

Lemma 5 implies that $QH_{\lambda} \subset H_{\lambda}$. That is PQ = QP which is equivalent to the normality of A.

Assume now that $\log \|e^{A_t}u\|$ and $\log \|e^{A_t}u\|$ are convex on R for all $u \neq 0$. According to Lemma 4 these conditions are equivalent to

(8)
$$-(P-\alpha I)^2 \leq \frac{i}{2}(QP-PQ) \leq (P-\alpha I)^2$$

for all $\alpha \in R$. Then Theorem 2 follows from our last theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let B, $P: H \rightarrow H$ be bounded self-adjoint operators. Assume that

(9)
$$-(P-\alpha I)^{\mu} \leq B \leq (P-\alpha I)^{\mu}, \quad \mu = 2m/(2l-1)$$

for all real α , where $m \ge l \ge 1$ are integers. Then B = 0.

PROOF. Suppose that $Pu = \alpha u$. Then (9) yields (Bu, u) = 0. Apply the arguments of the proof of Lemma 5 to deduce Bu = 0. Decompose $H = H_1 \bigoplus H_2$, $PH_i \subseteq H_i$ such that H_2 has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigen-elements of P and H_1 — the orthogonal complement of H_2 — does not contain any eigen-elements of P. Thus $BH_2 = 0$. Therefore it is enough to assume that P has only a continuous spectrum. Without restriction in generality we may assume that the spectrum of P lies in [0, 1]. Consider the spectral decomposition of P

$$P=\int_0^1\lambda dE(\lambda).$$

Let

$$E_i = \int_{(i-1)/n}^{i/n} dE(\lambda), \qquad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

Thus

$$I=\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_{i}, \quad E_{i}E_{j}=\delta_{ij}E_{j}, \qquad i,j=1,\cdots,n.$$

Choose $\alpha = (2i - 1)/2n$. Then (9) yields

(10)
$$-(2n)^{-\mu}E_i \leq E_iBE_i \leq (2n)^{-\mu}E_i.$$

Let y = u + sy, $u \in E_iH$, $y \in (I - E_i)H$. Then for the same choice of α , (9) implies

$$|(Bu, u) + 2\operatorname{Re}\{s(By, u)\} + |s|^{2}(By, y)| \leq (2n)^{-\mu}(u, u) + |s|^{2}(y, y).$$

The same inequality applies if we replace s by -s. Combine these two inequalities to get

$$2|\operatorname{Re}\{s(By, u)\}| \leq (2n)^{-\mu}(u, u) + |s|^{2}(y, y).$$

Choose $|s| = (2n)^{-\mu/2}$, arg $s = -\arg(By, u)$ to deduce

(11)
$$|(By, u)| \leq (2n)^{-\mu/2}[(u, u) + (y, y)]/2, \quad u \in E_iH, \quad y \in (I - E_i)H.$$

Let $\lambda \in \sigma(B)$. We claim that

$$|\lambda| \leq 3(2n)^{-(\mu-1)/2}.$$

Indeed, there exists $x \in H$ such that

$$||Bx - \lambda x|| \leq (2n)^{-\mu/2}, \qquad ||x|| = 1.$$

As $||x||^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||E_i x||^2 = 1$ we may assume that $||E_i x|| \ge n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for some $1 \le j \le n$. So

$$||E_jBx - \lambda E_jx|| \leq (2n)^{-\mu/2}.$$

Thus

$$|\lambda| \leq \sqrt{n}((2n)^{-\mu/2} + ||E_iBx||).$$

We now estimate $||E_iB||$. Clearly

$$||E_{i}B|| = \sup_{\|v\| = \|w\| = 1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_{i}Bv, w)\} = \sup_{\|v\| = \|E_{i}w\| = 1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_{i}Bv, E_{i}w)\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{\|E_{i}v\|=\|E_{j}w\|=1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_{i}BE_{i}v, E_{j}w)\} + \sup_{\|(I-E_{j})v\|=\|E_{j}w\|=1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_{i}B(I-E_{j})v, E_{j}w)\}.$$

In view of (10) and (11) we get

$$\sup_{\substack{\|E_{j}v\| = \|E_{j}w\| = 1}} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_{j}BE_{j}v, E_{j}w)\} \leq (2n)^{-\mu},$$
$$\sup_{\|(I - E_{j})v\| = \|E_{j}w\| = 1} \operatorname{Re}\{(E_{j}B(I - E_{j})v, E_{j}w)\} \leq (2n)^{-\mu/2}.$$

Combine the above inequalities to deduce (12). As *n* is arbitrary and $\mu > 1$, (12) implies $\sigma(B) = \{0\}$.

As B is self-adjoint we conclude that B = 0.

Added in proof. It was pointed out by C. Foiaş that, following the results of the paper, an operator A from a Banach space B to itself is defined to be normal if $\log \|e^{A^*}u\|$ and $\log \|e^{A^*}g\|^*$ are convex for all $0 \neq u \in B$, $0 \neq g \in B^*(\|\cdot\|^*)$ is the

conjugate norm on B^*). Furthermore a normal A is called hermitian if the spectrum of A is contained in the real line. The properties of these operators will be studied elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Professor Luc Tartar for his contribution to this paper. He raised the problem of characterization of normal operators by means of (1), and supplied the counterexample of the shift operator. Also, he had a different proof of Theorem 1 in the finite dimensional case.

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN — MADISON AND THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM